

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/511,898	02/23/00	YAMAGISHI		Н	Q58044	
Γ	QM32/0716			\neg		EXAMINER	
	Sughrue Mion Zinn Macpeak & Seas				HUNTER, A		
	2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Washington	DC 20037-3	202		3711	4	
					_ : :: = :::: 	07/16/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

_		Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
•	•			YAMAGISHI ET AL.						
Ç	Office Action Summary			Art Unit						
• .	•	Examiner Alvin A Hunte	ar	3711						
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app									
Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 F	February 2000) .							
2a)□		nis action is no								
3)										
Disposition of Claims										
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.										
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requ	uirement.							
Applicati	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) 🔲 -	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
44) 🖂 :	Applicant may not request that any objection to the									
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			roved by the Examiner.						
40\[7	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
. —	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	Carriller.								
•	Inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(a) (d) or (f)						
•	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)(a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/129,883</u> .									
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 									
Attachment(s)										
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2	4 5 <u>2</u> . 6	Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/511,898

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because a) it is more than 1 page, b) more than 15 lines, and c) more than 150 words. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 3, 4 and 16 of copending Application No. 09/129,883. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the ranges in claims overlap one another.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/511,898

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 4 and 16 recites the same limitation with except of the ranges, which overlap one another. In claims 4, and 16, in application serial no. 09/129,883, the applicant recites the following:

- a) In line 12, V_R: 0.8 to 0.93%;
- b) In line 15, V_R: 0.75 to 1.05%;
- c) In line 18, V_R: .7 to 1%;
- d) In line 21, V_R: 0.65 to 0.95%; and
- e) In line 23, V_R: 0.6 to 0.9%.

In application serial no. 09/511,898, the applicant recites the following:

- a) In line 17, V_R: 0.80 to 0.95%;
- b) in line 20, V_R: 0.75 to 0.95%;
- c) in line 23, V_R: 0.70 to 0.95%;
- d) in line 26, V_R: 0.65 to 0.95%; and
- e) in line 29, V_R: 0.60 to 0.90%.

Claim 4 and 16, application serial no. 09/129,883 also recites the same exact limitation of claim 2 in application serial no. 09/511,898. Claim 3 of application serial no. 09/129,883 recites the exact limitation of claim 3 in application serial no. 09/511,898.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A Hunter whose telephone number is 703-306-5693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:30PM Eastern Time. The examiner can also be reached on alternate.

Application/Control Number: 09/511,898

Art Unit: 3711

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on (703) 308-1310. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

Mark S. Graham Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner